## DOCUMENT BESUNE

05514 - [80905841]

Havy Operational Test and Evaluation: A Valuable Tool Not Pully Utilized (Unclassified Digest of a Classified Report), PSAD-78-77. Narch 29, 1978.

Report to the Congress; by Elmer B. Stants, Comptroller Goneral.

Issue Area: Federal Procurement of Goods and Services (1900); Federal Procurement of Goods and Services: Engineering Development Before Producing (1903).

Contact: Procurement and Systems Acquisition Div.
Budget Function: National Defense: Meapon Systems (057).
Organization Concerned: Department of Defense; Department of the

Congressional Relevance: Congress.

The Mavy's Operational Test and Evaluation Force tests and evaluates Mavy weapon systems in an operational environment and develops procedures and tactics for their use. The Test Force is separate and independent or developing, procuring, and using commands and reports results of operational tests and evaluations directly to the Chief of Naval Operations. Findings/Conclusions: The Navy has made considerable progress in planning and conducting tests and reporting test mesults. However, in some instances, Mavy officials made procurement decisions before sufficient operational test and nyaluation was completed, and some systems entered production before it was known whether they could perform their missions under combat conditions. Operational test and evaluation results completed on some wrapon systems after production began showed significant performance problems. Deviations from prescribed testing procedures should be made only when the need for the system is critical or the risk of performance problems is minimal. In some cases, a complete operational test and evaluation could not be Recommendations: The made because of limited test resources. Secretary of Defense should demonstrate through operational testing that weapon systems can perform their missions in typical combat environments before they are approved for production and see that the Navy's Operational Test and Evaluation Force is given adequate resources to perform the necessary test and evaluation. (RRS)

Eary,

This is an unclassified digest furnished in lieu of a report containing classified security information

CONSTROLLER GENERAL'S REPORT TO THE CONGRESS

NAVY OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION -- A VALUABLE TOOL NOT PULLY UTILIZED

## PIGEST

The Navy's Operational Test and Evaluation Force tests and evaluates Navy weapon systems—ships, aircraft, and other equipment—in an operational environment. It also develops procedures and tectics for their use. The Test Force is separate and independent of developing, procuring, and using commands and reports results of operational test and evaluation directly to the Chief of Naval Operations. (See p. 2.)

The Navy has made considerable progress in establishing, defining, and implementing policies and procedures for effective operational test and evaluation; these policies and procedures have existed since October 1975. (See pp. 5 to 7.) GAO concluded that generally the Navy's Test Force has effectively planned and conducted tests and reported test results. (See p. 8.)

However, in some instances Navy officials made procurement decisions before sufficient operational test and evaluation was completed or started. The result was that some systems entered production before it was known whether they could perform their missions under combat conditions. (See pp. 8 to 12.)

Operational test and evaluation results completed on some weapon systems after production began showed significant performance problems. Limited and untimely testing can result in costly retrofits and/or systems that are unable to carry out their missions. Therefore, deviations from prescribed testing procedures should be made only when the need for the system is critical or the risk of performance problems is minimal. (See pp. 8 to 12 and 17.)

In some cases, a complete operational test and evaluation could not be made because test resources were limited. In some of

there peace, the Mary plane additional comments to the test and evaluation, etcher boken er after the decision to produce. (604 ). 12

TO Implove the Navy's productment of wathon of Darwas try

- Dr. vonstrate through operational heating that weapon mys lead can partial know that will will stone in typical englat environments beloze they are approved the production. Exceptions should be limited to conditions of extreme necessary or where there is appreciate aviations, such as risk analysis, of the chance of failure. (See p. 17.)
- -- See that the Navy's Operational Test and Evaluation Force is given adequate resources to perform the necessary test and evaluation. If adequate resources cannot be provided, the Test Force should inform decisionmakers of new the absence of such resources will affect operational test results. (Cab. p. 17.)

The Department of Defense generally aggreed with the information in this report but noted that it discussed several weapon systems that were produced before current directives were put into effect. It insense states that additional cesting is planned and vill be completed before production is a thurized in some at the cases discussed. Lee app. II.) In some of these cases, nowever, additional testing will not affect the production decision since it will be done after the decision is made or will not reduce or eliminate the problem of test limitations cited by GAO. (See p. 8.)